1

T.A. No.335/2009

(Writ Petition (Civil) No.3382/1995)

06.01.2010

Present:

None for petitioner.

Sh. Anil Gautam, counsel for the respondents.

Present case is squarely covered by the decision of Hon'ble Delhi High Court dated May 25, 1995 in the case of **Bhim Singh Vs. Union of India & Another** whereby their Lordships has already held that person who is reemployed is not entitled to benefits of onetime increase in pension.

Petitioner in this petition has also prayed for declaration of para 4.1 (viii & ix) of the Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter dated 16.03.1992 and 25.02.1994 denying him the benefit of onetime increase in pension being illegal and ultravires. This case is also identical to the case decided by Hon'ble Delhi High Court as mentioned supra. Therefore, nothing survives in this petition. Consequently same is dismissed in view of the reasons mentioned in the aforesaid judgment. No order as to costs.

A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

M.L. NAIDU (Member)

New Delhi January 6, 2010